

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/967,301	(09/28/2001	Simon Lawrence John Stubbs	PA-0111	5224
22840	7590	10/24/2003		EXAMINER	
AMERSHA PATENT DI			ROBINSON, HOPE A		
800 CENTE	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PISCATAW	AY, NJ	Y, NJ 08855		1653	
				DATE MAIL ED. 10/24/200	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/967,	,301	STUBBS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summar	Examin	r	Art Unit	
		Hope A	. Robinson	1653	
Period fo		munication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM nsions of time may be available under the proving SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maximum tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three moded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecommunication. iirty (30) days, a reply within the stum statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the anoths after the mailing date of this control.	event, however, may a tatutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 20</u>	<u>)03</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i	is non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p ion of Claims			tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)[🛛	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in	the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 a	nd 19-24 is/are withdray	wn from conside	ration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) <u>11-18 and 25</u> is/are rej	ected.			
7)	Claim(s) 12-13 is/are objected to) .			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/	are: a)□ accepted or b)□	objected to by t	he Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that an				
11)[The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a)	approved b) C	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings a	e required in reply to this (Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priority ι	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None	of:			
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the price	ority documents have be	en received.		
	2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have be	en received in A	pplication No	
* 5		iternational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.	
			·	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)	
) The translation of the foreign Acknowledgment is made of a cla	• • •	• •		
Attachmen	_				
1) Notice 2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revienation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (claims 11-18) is acknowledged.

Claim Disposition

2. Claim 25 has been added. Claim 14 has been amended. Claims 1-25 are pending. Claims 11-18 and 25 are under examination.

Claim Objection

3. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to because the claims recite "SEQ ID No.2" which is not the correct sequence notification, which is "SEQ ID NO: ".

Compliance with the sequence rules is required.

Specification

4. The specification is objected to because of the following informalities:

The specification is objected to because on page 6 for example the sequence notation is written as "SEQ ID No.4" instead of "SEQ ID NO:4" which does not comply with the sequence rules. In addition, the amino acids are reported using the one letter codes instead of the three letter codes, see page 10 for example.

Correction is required.

Art Unit: 1653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 11-18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11 and 14 and the dependent claims hereto are indefinite because the claim recites "and/or" and it is unclear if the slash mark represents "and", "or" or "and or". It is suggested that applicant delete one of the terms from the claim including the slash mark. In addition, the claims are indefinite because the residue positions are not tied to a reference sequence; therefore, it is unclear what is the protein that is only derived? It is suggested that the limitations of claim 12 be incorporated into claim 11. The claims are also unclear as to: which one is the wild type and what is the difference in excitation and or emission spectrum?

Art Unit: 1653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 11-18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsien (WO 96/23810, 8 August 1996).

Tsien report a cDNA that encodes modified green fluorescent proteins (GFP) with a point mutation at position S65 (claim 1, pages 5 and 7) to a Thr mutant (claim 25, page 3) having markedly different excitation and emission spectra from the corresponding products from wild type GFP (abstract and page 6). Tsien disclose a

Art Unit: 1653

DNA sequence that encodes the protein set forth in SEQ ID NO:2, 3 and 4 of the instant application and analogs thereof (claims 12-13, see the alignments). Tsien also teach the expression of GFP in *E. coli* (claim 17) under the control of a T7 promoter (page 3). The reference teach the same DNA encoding a fusion protein (claim 14, page 9). In addition, Tsien disclose expression vector, host (claim 15-16) and methods of producing the protein (claim 11, page 27). Therefore, the limitations of the claim is met by this reference.

7. Claims 11-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Osumi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,194,548, July 1998).

Osumi et al. teach a DNA encoding GFP having mutations at positions S175, F64 and E222 (claim 1, columns 4 and 8) that exhibited different excitation spectrum (column 12). Osumi et al. teach the sequences contained in SEQ ID NOs: 2 and 3 (claims 12-13, see the alignments). The reference reports the use of an expression vector (claim 15, column 8) and expression in *E. coli* (claims 16-17, column 13). Thus, the limitations of the claims are met by this reference.

8. Claims 11 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Bjorn et al. (WO 01/98338 19 June 2000).

Bjorn et al. disclose DNA that encodes modified green fluorescent proteins (GFP) with a point mutation at position F64 and E222 having markedly different excitation and emission spectra from the corresponding products from wild type GFP (claim 1, page 3).

Art Unit: 1653

Bjorn et al. disclose COS7 cells as host cells (claim 17, page 19) an expression vector (claim 15) and a DNA construct comprising the vector (claim 16, see page 5).

Therefore, the limitations of the claim is met by this reference.

Conclusion

9. No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Hope A. Robinson whose telephone number is (703)308-6231. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M (EST).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor Christopher S.F. Low, can be reached at (703)308-2932.

Any inquiries of a general nature relating to this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission.

The official fax phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-2742. Please affix the Examiner's name on a cover sheet attached to your communication should you

Art Unit: 1653

Page 7

published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989).

choose to fax your response. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice

Hope A. Robinson, MS^C

Patent Examiner

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800